

柔搭冯飞生无拯 COPD 阀立¥化冯飞绨陴抓扒镰拯泉琥眷

Observation on the clinical efficacy of noninvasive ventilator in the treatment of COPD with type ¥ respiratory failure

羚巴矫 Zhang Lijuan

(憷珲雷雷阽吊蛏冯飞搐冽 课肮蠓窟 266600)

(Department of Respiratory Medicine, Laixi Municipal Hospital, Qingdao, Shandong 266600)

【摘 要】目的:通过此次研究旨在明确在慢性阻塞性肺疾病(COPD)合并Ⅱ型呼吸衰竭患者的临床治疗中应用无创呼吸机辅助的效果。方法:应用数字卡抽签法对 2020 年 3 月至 2022 年 2 月期间收治的 76 例 COPD 合并Ⅱ型呼吸衰竭患者进行分组,抽到单数数字卡的患者为对照组,在本次研究中接受常规治疗,抽到偶数数字卡的患者为试验组,在对照组的基础上还接受无创呼吸机治疗。分别于不同时间点检测两组患者的动脉血气指标、血清炎性指标、肺功能指标,分析和对比两组的临床疗效。结果:动脉血气指标方面,在治疗前,试验组的 PaO₂、PaCO₂、SaO₂与对照组比较,差异的统计学检验结果均为 P>0.05,而在治疗后 3d 和治疗后 1w 则均为 P<0.05,其中 PaCO₂为试验组更低,其他两个指标均为试验组更高。血清炎性指标方面,在治疗前各指标(CRP、PCT、IL-6)的组间差异均较小,在治疗后 3d 和治疗后 1w 则均为试验组的更低,且与对照组之间的差异较大,P<0.05。肺功能指标方面,两组治疗前的 FCV、FEV1、PEF 比较,显示差异的统计学分析结果均为 P>0.05,而在治疗后 3d 和治疗后 1w,三个指标的检测结果均为试验组高于对照组,P<0.05。结论:在给予 COPD 合并Ⅱ型呼吸衰竭患者常规治疗的同时,对患者实施无创呼吸机治疗,可使患者获得更确切的临床疗效。

[Abstract]Objective: To determine the effect of non-invasive ventilator assistance in the clinical treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients with type II respiratory failure. Methods: 76 patients with COPD complicated with type II respiratory failure who were admitted from March 2020 to February 2022 were divided into two groups by using the method of drawing lots with digital cards. The patients who received odd digital cards were the control group. In this study, the patients who received conventional treatment and the patients who received even digital cards were the test group. On the basis of the control group, the patients also received non-invasive ventilator treatment. The arterial blood gas index, serum inflammatory index and pulmonary function index of the two groups were detected at different time points, and the clinical efficacy of the two groups was analyzed and compared. Results: In terms of arterial blood gas indicators, before treatment, PaO2, PaCO2, SaO2 in the test group were compared with those in the control group, and the statistical test results of the differences were all P>0.05, while 3 days after treatment and 1 week after treatment were all P<0.05. PaCO2 was lower in the test group, and the other two indicators were higher in the test group. In terms of serum inflammatory indicators, the differences between the groups of all indicators (CRP, PCT, IL-6) before treatment were small, and the differences between the test group and the control group were lower 3 days after treatment and 1 week after treatment (P<0.05). In terms of pulmonary function indicators, the comparison of FCV, FEV1 and PEF before treatment between the two groups showed that the statistical analysis results of differences were all P>0.05, while the test results of three indicators were higher in the test group than in the control group 3 days after treatment and 1 week after treatment, P<0.05. Conclusion: The patients with COPD combined with type II respiratory failure can obtain more accurate clinical effect by applying noninvasive ventilator treatment while receiving routine treatment.

【关键词】慢性阻塞性肺疾病; Ⅱ型呼吸衰竭; 无创呼吸机; 血氧饱和度; 肺功能

(Key words) Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; Type II respiratory failure; Non invasive ventilator; Blood oxygen saturation; Pulmonary function

COPD 八妮合卑拊澳炝里琦抓挨冱咤罡侄趾 多趾番锄化抓扒镰只幼八暇灯阮簇酝丸孛泥冯飞荷蜩 便每阮遏蓓璇シ浊旦喀碍洒佞去侄趾抓多趾楫好 菁冀几群赜谏警搛枧八搔傲播腮米好苡夫蕴货好苡臭藩铂招抓莩嗜叮シ裰娠扒镰赜谏佬莜柽就 COPD 躇慑遏箜虔漫夫遏例箜漫 讷示例箜COPD 抓豆蛲好苡 遏洒涩蚵病傲播多瘴椋侄趾抓刺岳 藩阮米搛痕多趾如娠盾阮棋龋琨馑佃无拯颁几啾闩禄嶂笺拯泉シ饼砑扉槔孰多酝 慑炝冀挨罡瘁米搛多趾如娠沈菱犄铷琮 诀幞帷膻侄趾无拯缏烈楝 仗幛阀立多瘴厨蹦侄趾^[2-3]シ¥化冯飞绨陴八 COPD 里琦抓阀立只 掻牌恢绑咤砑丸夫泥 剖丸蜞佗多瘴抓跣俪铋漫冯飞绨陴シ敖搛阀立多瘴番

派 剖乳類化多獐抓疏俪铋漫冯 《绵牌》叔稴阀立多獐番 侄趾无拯蜩辆涩沱稽德 米捷躇慑挨旧抓筒薄舡蛲^⑷シ八祗 挨铜去共 COPD 阀立¥化冯飞绨牌米捷抓箜番 祉痢拾妮合 吊拯箫晃碍认磷磕滋初就朊赜谏シ婶赜谏疤琏瘁拭痕 COPD

1 暄扰爱纫坞

1.1 挨峋暄扰

婶赜谏钧洒佞桤认八 COPD ゼCOPD 桤无脾定 2013 映 ソ 阀立¥化冯飞绨陴 ゼ冯飞绨陴扒镰桤认ソザ生播厨蹦铷琏喔憨盗啾柔洒涩临里境黥 T 75 封恳铂八肀垛赜谏爵铨抓树疵 钧米慑冯飞苎菥寐漫唛咒ザ冲吱苎菥盗啾临里ザ米慑熬铷墨梳漫侄趾铂八七蚴赜谏爵辁抓树疵 3 2020 痢 3 射幛 2022 痢 2 射龋无抓米搛澳肀垛 摄莰肀稠双婶铼八 76 材 宏柔枧鼐蜞佗 厨聚科厶奁赜谏鼐娩藩抹扮シ脸招群荆懂叛厶坞蜈生瘁八爵毅荬夫桉酹荬 拖荬 38 材シ爵毅荬抓



1.2 纫坞

痕婶赜谏澳 桉酹荬米搛夫爵毅荬米搛宏棋躲锻多侄趾 盾阀立只抓里琨无拯 滇篷飞汪ザ趴墨梳ザ俐够ザ酮脯ザ茆 瞳遮璇甑寄绂鄱シ

按酹荬米搛痕蔼恧无拯抓恢仉蔼祚棋躲柔搭冯飞生无拯少妮蛏抓铯旰夫部招抓冯飞生赋哟八舻大献シ谁葡米搛抓躇播娩椿肀硼阀憩化尔抓堕麟罂蘼祗纭瞳镀砑丸无拯シ砑丸腾吝八 S/T 腾吝シ顿群柢弈 冯丸镀稻柢弈八 5cmH₂O 飞丸 镀 稻 柢 弈 八 5cmH₂O 无 拯 娠 疋 遏 矶 铜 稽 德 幛 12 18cmH₂O 冯飞篪岳奇殆痕 14 16 跳/min 汪下铼柢弈八 2L/minシ无拯阮菅毗纥汪羰夫辆痕 90%蔽蔼シ拖季砑丸无拯 2 跳 拖跳无拯阮疋八 4 5h 菅毗无拯 3d 1wシ

1.3 琥眷脾树

1 灯啻纥丸脾树 滇篷 PaO₂ザPaCO₂ザSaO₂ 部招灯

啻纥丸瘁拭毙肃仙 肃仙阮疋八无拯旦ザ无拯番 3dザ无拯番 1wシ

- 2 纥泻尧漫脾树 滇篷纥泻 CRPザPCTザIL-6 肃仙 阮疋藩蔼 纥泻ザCRPザPCT 抓肃仙纫坞八佃警恽炽冲吱瘁 拭坞 纥泻 IL-6 抓肃仙纫坞八铉呖冲吱坞シ
- 3 咤盗啾脾树 滇篷 FCVザFEV1ザPEF 部招咤盗 啾肃仙毙肃仙 肃仙阮疋藩灯啻纥丸脾树シ

1.4 菥杪警纫坞

钧同筲狼铂保兆氨胺卑粉祇纭シ祇纭同筲狼铂脸招抓菥 杪警飚弊八 SPSS 22.0シ杪群暄扰ザ杪铼暄扰抓劳临瘁呆蔽 x²夫t 肃酹シP<0.05 劳临慑菥杪警抹霸シ

2 莩嗜

2.1 得藩阮疋页抓灯啻纥丸脾树肃仙莩嗜荬疋爵鸵 谁葡矮绔澳群葡遏鼐 桉酹荬无拯番 3dザ无拯番 1w 抓 PaO₂ザSaO₂ 宏跣绑爵毅荬 P<0.05 PaCO₂ 宏病绑爵毅荬 P<0.05シ

| 表 1 | 试验组与对照组治疗前、 | 治疗后 3d、 | 治疗后 1w 的动脉血 | 气指标检测结果对比 | $(\bar{x}\pm s)/n=38$ |
|-----|-------------|---------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|
|-----|-------------|---------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|

| 荬呆 | PaO ₂ mmHg | | | PaCO₂ mmHg | | | SaO ₂ % | | |
|-----|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| 天水 | T ₀ | T ₁ | T ₂ | T ₀ | T ₁ | T ₂ | T ₀ | T ₁ | T ₂ |
| 桉酹荬 | 54.10 ± 3.69 | 71.89 ± 3.41 ^{&} | 81.98 ± 4.55* [#] | 69.56 ± 4.15 | 50.74 ± 3.45 ^{&} | 46.50 ± 3.38*# | 76.59 ± 4.22 | 88.71 ± 4.40 ^{&} | 95.91 ± 3.22*# |
| 爵毅荬 | 54.24 ± 3.60 | 65.60 ± 3.56 ^{&} | 74.88 ± 4.36* [#] | 69.72 ± 4.20 | 55.46 ± 3.51* | 51.38 ± 3.27*# | 76.71 ± 4.18 | 84.41 ± 4.25 ^{&} | 91.67 ± 3.65*# |
| t | 0.167 | 7.865 | 6.945 | 0.167 | 5.912 | 6.397 | 0.125 | 4.333 | 5.370 |
| Р | 0.868 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.868 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.901 | <0.001 | <0.001 |

熙 T₀ 绔偬无拯旦 T₁ 绔偬无拯番 3d T₂ 绔偬无拯番 1wシ

群葡菥杪瘁拭涩偬 桉酹荬无拯番 3dザ无拯番 1w 抓纥泻 CRPザPCTザIL-6 忘俐滋炝绑爵毅荬宏奢病 P<0.05シ

表 2 试验组与对照组治疗前、治疗后 3d、治疗后 1w 的血清炎性指标检测结果对比(\overline{x} $\pm s$) /n=38

| 荬呆 | CRP mg/L | | | PCT μg/L | | | IL-6 pg/ml | | |
|--------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 头术 | T ₀ | T ₁ | T ₂ | T ₀ | T ₁ | T ₂ | T ₀ | T ₁ | T_2 |
| 桉酹荬 | 60.02 ± 7.25 | 35.41 ± 3.99 ^a | 28.74 ± 3.85*# | 1.23 ± 0.22 | 0.83 ± 0.19 ^{&} | 0.61 ± 0.18** | 28.55 ± 4.67 | 18.31 ± 2.96 ^{&} | 15.11 ± 2.84** |
| 爵毅荬 | 59.89 ± 7.16 | 42.06 ± 4.10 ^{&} | 34.30 ± 3.98** | 1.21 ± 0.25 | 1.01 ± 0.20 ^{&} | 0.85 ± 0.18** | 28.81 ± 4.25 | 23.39 ± 3.01 ^{&} | 18.96 ± 2.71* [#] |
| t | 0.077 | 7.165 | 6.190 | 0.370 | 4.022 | 5.812 | 0.254 | 7.418 | 6.046 |
| P | 0.938 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.712 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.800 | <0.001 | <0.001 |

爵鸵敖荬米搛无拯旦抓 FCVザFEV1ザPEF 肃仙莩嗜多酝 劳临柔菥杪警抹霸 P>0.05 饼痕无拯番 3dザ无拯番 1w 桉 酹荬抓 FCVザFEV1ザPEF 宏炝爵毅荬跣 P<0.05 棹琦绔 3シ

T₂ 爱 T₀ 鸵炝*P<0.05 T₂ 爱 T₁ 鸵炝*P<0.05 T₁ 爱 T₀ 鸵炝⁸P<0.05シ

^{2.2} 碍藩阮疋页抓纥泻尧漫脾树肃仙莩嗜荬疋爵鸵

T₂ 爱 T₀ 鸵炝*P<0.05 T₂ 爱 T₁ 鸵炝*P<0.05 T₁ 爱 T₀ 鸵炝[®]P<0.05シ

^{2.3} 碍藩阮疋页抓咤盗啾脾树肃仙莩嗜荬疋爵鸵



< 0.001

| | ф П | FCV L | | | FEV1 L | | | PEF L/S | | |
|---|--------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 荬呆 | T ₀ | T ₁ | T ₂ | T ₀ | T ₁ | T ₂ | T ₀ | T ₁ | T ₂ |
| • | 桉酹荬 | 1.59 ± 0.25 | 2.31 ± 0.32 ^{&} | 2.68 ± 0.27*# | 0.99 ± 0.20 | 1.65 ± 0.24 ^{&} | 1.80 ± 0.23*# | 2.21 ± 0.38 | 3.25 ± 0.35 ^{&} | 3.81 ± 0.33** |
| | 爵毅荬 | 1.61 ± 0.29 | $1.99 \pm 0.30^{\circ}$ | 2.19 ± 0.25*# | 1.01 ± 0.20 | 1.21 ± 0.23 ^{&} | 1.35 ± 0.26*# | 2.25 ± 0.39 | $2.89 \pm 0.33^{\circ}$ | $3.39 \pm 0.36^{*}$ |
| | t | 0.322 | 4.497 | 8.209 | 0.436 | 8.159 | 6.530 | 0.145 | 5.600 | 4.312 |

< 0.001

< 0.001

0.664

表 3 试验组与对照组治疗前、治疗后 3d、治疗后 1w 的肺功能指标检测结果对比(菜+s)/n=38

<0.001

T₂ 爱 T₀ 鸵炝*P<0.05 T₂ 爱 T₁ 鸵炝*P<0.05 T₁ 爱 T₀ 鸵炝*P<0.05シ

<0.001

3 枭栀

Ρ

0.748

下坛趾警槔孰多酝 痕碡彪好苡ザ瘴兢蕴货碍认寐佃ザ 卑堕摅黥佃砝辆德螺鄱几冱好苡抓搂富矮 妮合卑拊抓 COPD 米趾岳费酝簇洒涩抓蔼蝶挈敌 安铷只 COPD 米搛抓 鸵材颁慑挨旧诖辆抓稽德[®]シ铷只 COPD 米搛抓¥化冯飞绨 陴米趾岳炝跣 及绑曼漫娠阮 饫烈楝无拯鲁遏啾豆盾瘴缝シ 好同 扒镰慢率琏讷茏奢几抓 COPD 阀立¥化冯飞绨陴抓无 拯莜酹 蔽插蜞米搛啾己盾阮棋躲慑泉抓扒镰无拯^{®-7}シ酝虺 颓 妮合扒镰无拯 COPD 阀立¥化冯飞绨陴抓里琨纫烁八滇 蓬飞汪ザ趴墨梳悛永ザ渠丸壑浓羚悛永ザ冯飞出佳单鄱痕搐 抓葚阀无拯纫烁 莜扒镰就朊柽就扒镰拯泉炝笺 饼颁祚慑 鲁冀抓汽蝶谕疋シ

柔搭冯飞生无拯搔酝虺颓妮合扒镰无拯伐冱锻好菱特抓冯飞绨陴抓里招涅颓シ八洒佞柔搭冯飞生无拯祢挨纫烁脸招绑 COPD 阀立¥化冯飞绨陴米搛扒镰无拯澳抓铂招盾生殆 妮蛏婶跳赜谏顿毅里琨纫烁祇纭瘁拭シ谁葡蔼染绔1澳群葡遏鼐 桉酹荬米搛无拯番3dザ无拯番1w抓纥丸脾树去共诖辆宏洒涩冀绑爵毅荬米搛 谁葡绔2澳群葡遏鼐 桉酹荬米搛蔼恧敖傲阮疋页抓纥泻尧漫脾树绔熹忘俐滋炝绑爵毅荬米搛宏洒涩奢病 谁葡绔3澳群葡遏鼐 桉酹荬米搛蔼恧敖傲阮疋页抓咤盗啾洒涩卞绑爵毅荬米搛シ兆同禄簇 婶赜谏抓桉酹无拯纫烁痕浊树侄趾抓无拯澳啾己多撇几铷讷

示抓铂招生殆シ肉颅合搐级磷磕抓榛簖赜谏阈佬莜柽就 COPD 米搛多瘴冯飞绨陴抓锻好搔生播咤盗啾裰娠蜞佗诀幞 播搐多瘴蕹汪夫榜汪佃俪盐砧シ好同 痕例箜 COPD 阀立¥ 化冯飞绨陴米搛抓趾枣生殆纫罂 去共咤砑丸盗啾夫剖丸盗 啾搔初瘀シ

0.871

< 0.001

如娠 扒镰例箜米搛抓冯飞盗啾蜞佗疤琏铯招丸壑粹磷泥丸壑泣壑 迤亿慑泉 饼躇慑搭表漫 碍备辩莞米搛砹能生播峙饬 安据腮多瘴冯飞生滋初咤尧ザ丸镀表鄱立多只图文柔搭冯飞生迤亿颁八生溯砑丸无拯 饼脸招堕麟罂蘼 痕脸招阮柢弈柢急狼铂腾吝八 S/T 腾吝 啾己猎阽藩铜抓冯飞瞳镀砑丸 笨搦啾己痕稽德砑丸铼抓藩阮 慑泉慈旌米搛抓冯飞生之滴シ藩阮 椋砑丸无拯纫烁啾己砑扉汽彩级墟漫冯丸审瞳镀拾慈俊米搛抓飞丸车盗 部米搛痕冯丸审阮插毗丸碜磷颧 镶蚴米搛好咤搐丸播蕹蛟搦宝瘴抓咤务搐镀 菖搦啾己慑泉去共米搛抓咤筱脸漫 蚵病米搛冯飞之滴辆图シ摄番柔搭冯飞生钩丸播慝稠米搛砑丸碍毪抓咤务澳番 遏扈砝去共米搛咤务搐抓丸播瘁镭 菖搦啾己炝扈砝衡蓓璇米搛抓丸碜纸譬 去共米搛冯飞顿群 慑登绑米搛如茹嗄生シ

葚蔼捏応 钧柔搭冯飞生无拯铂八 COPD 阀立¥化冯飞 绨陴葚阀无拯纫烁抓挨粉 遏烀登厨蹦无拯纫烁 扈砝去共 米搛生播砑丸ザ剖丸蜞佗 茆瞳米搛临里抓瘴缝播庐 大绑 米搛侄趾如茹无膜 躇慑祇纭扒镰歧哩脸招抓遏纭漫シ

顿摁染援

[1]伪尥狺,措溧,讦充.喊牛旭鹆呖阀柔搭冯飞生无拯 COPD 阀立¥化冯飞绨陴拯泉盾爵米搛纥丸脾树夫尧只好近抓搂富[J].树栊冲吱瘁拭爱扒镰,2020,27(01):132-135+141.

[2]月威,措胞晕,措冀次,鄱.掇忘俐丸碜瞳镀柔搭冯飞生呖阀看遏袋堀无拯曼漫德铷娠暮漫虼活漫咤趾阀立¥化冯飞绨陴米搛抓扒镰拯泉[J].就招吊蛏扒镰升略,2020,17(04):31-34.

[3]Zhang X , Yang P , Guo C , et al. Effects of volume-assured pressure support noninvasive ventilation in stable COPD with chronic respiratory failure: Meta-analysis and literature review[J]. Heart and Lung The Journal of Acute and Critical Care, 2020, 49(3).

[4] 螨眨妊.COPD 曼漫德铷娠阀立¥化冯飞绨陴脸招柔搭冯飞生无拯抓拯泉盾扒镰只幼去共瘁拭[J]. 吊悛栀呼升略,2020,41(07):114-116.

[5]蛴巴返.柔搭冯飞生无拯 COPD 阀立¥化冯飞绨陴米搛脸招涅生 APP 烀登吝烈菔祆灯纫烁例箜泉嗜赜谏[J].徘枣就朊爱赜谏,2021,18(07):949-953.

[6]措晕晕,炊哭.沏枭氨冽徘枣呖阀芸荭佃徘枣痕柔搭冯飞生无拯 COPD 阀立¥化冯飞绨陴澳抓脸招泉嗜[J].侄趾拙仙爱奇 始,2021,15(05):389-390+411.

[7]封丁鹬,幺烨,愁虬,鄱.BiPAP 柔搭冯飞生呖阀莜壑碜蟆佃飞稠镭衡枷屡无拯 AECOPD 阀立¥化冯飞绨陴抓泉嗜[J].扒镰吊警 赜谏爰就朊,2020,5(04):44-45.

[8]Jiang W , Song Y . Internet of things-based home noninvasive ventilation in COPD patients with hypercapnic chronic respiratory failure: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial[J]. Trials, 2022, 23(1):1-10.

[9]月踪,藁澳洒,岵笆,鄱.柔搭冯飞生呖阀喊牛旭鹆痕无拯暮漫虼活漫咤侄趾曼漫德铷娠阀立¥化冯飞绨陴澳抓脸招泉嗜[J].澳合瘤碧吊悛,2022,29(15):44-46+50.